

Michigan Technological University
Spring 2022 Main Evaluation Group

Course: FW31120ASpring2022: FW3112 0A Spring 2022-Section 0A - Spring 2022 (14181)
Instructor: Jared Wolfe *
Response Rate: 14/26 (53.85%)

**1 - As you respond to the following items, remember that an effective teaching/learning environment is interactive and requires the cooperative efforts of the student and the instructor. For a course to foster student learning, students must have mastered the appropriate prerequisite knowledge and skills, purchase and read textbooks, complete course assignments, and actively contribute to the learning environment of the class. To be fair to your instructor, please consider these important factors as you complete this form. Many people have unconscious biases against other people that are related to race, religion, color, national origin, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, height, weight, genetic information, marital status, disability, veteran status, or another characteristic. As you complete this survey, please try not to let any potential biases affect your responses.-----Student Reflection-----
 -----Questions 1-4 ask you to reflect honestly on your level of effort in the course. -----I understood the goals and objectives of this course.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.57	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Neutral	(3)	1	7.14%			
Agree	(4)	4	28.57%			
Strongly Agree	(5)	9	64.29%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
14/26 (53.85%)				4.57	0.65	5.00

2 - The goals and objectives of this course were relevant to me.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.50	
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%			
Neutral	(3)	1	7.14%			
Agree	(4)	2	14.29%			
Strongly Agree	(5)	10	71.43%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
14/26 (53.85%)				4.50	0.94	5.00

3 - My effort in this course was adequate to meet course objectives.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.57	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Neutral	(3)	1	7.14%			
Agree	(4)	4	28.57%			
Strongly Agree	(5)	9	64.29%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
14/26 (53.85%)				4.57	0.65	5.00

Michigan Technological University

Spring 2022 Main Evaluation Group

Course: FW31120ASpring2022: FW3112 0A Spring 2022-Section 0A - Spring 2022 (14181)
Instructor: Jared Wolfe *
Response Rate: 14/26 (53.85%)

4 - I came prepared for each class session.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.43	
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%			
Neutral	(3)	1	7.14%			
Agree	(4)	3	21.43%			
Strongly Agree	(5)	9	64.29%			
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median	
14/26 (53.85%)		4.43		0.94	5.00	

- Study for the exams and you'll be totally fine.
- Study for the exams and prepare for the discussions & you will be fine :)
- You will need to be prepared to discuss some broad topics, but if they will help you in your future endeavors and are very helpful as long as you pay attention and put in the effort.
- Go to class. It's extremely beneficial and fun.
- If it doesn't make sense why we're learning something at first, it will soon. Just be patient and open.
- make sure to answer any question sheets before coming to class for discussion because it really helps further your understanding when you can directly compare your work to other classmates.
- Bring notes and be prepared to participate!
- Its a lot better to attend lecture in person than over the zoom.

5 - -----University Questions-----Questions 5-14 appear on all student evaluations. Data gathered from the numerical questions (#5-12) is used by administration for faculty tenure and promotion decisions. Your written answers to questions 13 and 14 are seen only by the instructor.-----The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject matter of the course.

Jared Wolfe

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.93	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%			
Agree	(4)	1	7.14%			
Strongly Agree	(5)	13	92.86%			
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median	
14/26 (53.85%)		4.93		0.27	5.00	

6 - The instructor communicated the course material clearly.

Jared Wolfe

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.71	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Neutral	(3)	1	7.14%			
Agree	(4)	2	14.29%			
Strongly Agree	(5)	11	78.57%			
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median	
14/26 (53.85%)		4.71		0.61	5.00	

Michigan Technological University
Spring 2022 Main Evaluation Group

Course: FW31120ASpring2022: FW3112 0A Spring 2022-Section 0A - Spring 2022 (14181)
Instructor: Jared Wolfe *
Response Rate: 14/26 (53.85%)

7 - The instructor engaged students by encouraging participation during class.					
Jared Wolfe					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.79
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Agree	(4)	3	21.43%	█	
Strongly Agree	(5)	11	78.57%	██████████	
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median
14/26 (53.85%)		4.79		0.43	5.00

8 - The instructor engaged students by encouraging course preparation, reflection or other activities outside of class.					
Jared Wolfe					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.64
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Neutral	(3)	1	7.14%	█	
Agree	(4)	3	21.43%	█	
Strongly Agree	(5)	10	71.43%	██████████	
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median
14/26 (53.85%)		4.64		0.63	5.00

9 - The instructor provided timely feedback on my work (homework, assignments, exams, etc.)					
Jared Wolfe					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	7.14%	█	2.86
Disagree	(2)	4	28.57%	████	
Neutral	(3)	6	42.86%	██████████	
Agree	(4)	2	14.29%	█	
Strongly Agree	(5)	1	7.14%	█	
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median
14/26 (53.85%)		2.86		1.03	3.00

10 - The instructor displayed a personal interest in students and their learning.					
Jared Wolfe					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.86
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Agree	(4)	2	14.29%	█	
Strongly Agree	(5)	12	85.71%	██████████	
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median
14/26 (53.85%)		4.86		0.36	5.00

Michigan Technological University

Spring 2022 Main Evaluation Group

Course: FW31120ASpring2022: FW3112 0A Spring 2022-Section OA - Spring 2022 (14181)
Instructor: Jared Wolfe *
Response Rate: 14/26 (53.85 %)

11 - The instructor used technology appropriately.

Jared Wolfe

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Agree	(4)	4	28.57%	█	
Strongly Agree	(5)	10	71.43%	█	
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
14/26 (53.85%)			4.71	0.47	5.00

12 - As I, the instructor, prepare to teach this class again, what aspects of this course (teaching methods, assignments, areas of emphasis, etc.) should I preserve that effectively furthered your learning?

Jared Wolfe

Response Rate 12/26 (46.15%)

- The organization of all your classes that I've taken are so beneficial to my learning and retaining information. I very often wish other classes would organize their lectures in the same way! The reviews at the beginning of each class period and before exams are particularly helpful, and I also really like the overview slides that set up what we'll go over in lecture. Your attitude also is so positive and encouraging, which makes the class super interesting, engaging, and fun to go to. I greatly appreciate your teaching, especially since so many professors seem to focus more on their research than on their students so often. You do an excellent job of blending both and applying some of your research to the topics we are learning in class, which gives us real world examples to base our understanding off of. I particularly liked the conversations about game theory, how science can be manipulated and become poor quality, the way colleges work (in regards to research), and how to deal with stakeholders. I will most certainly apply concepts from this class to my real world experiences.
- I really enjoyed the format of the whole class. I'd love if you figure out a way to implement non-traditional exams, though I know our class was a little resistant to them.
- I really enjoyed the format of the course with having a lecture and discussion both in a week. It really helped to grasp content better as it is so applied.
- Give more time to complete assignments and not give big assignments with one day to complete them. Better earlier communication also.
- Give more time on assignments, like the most recent one with sending an email out the day before it was due (reaching out to people email). I understand it wasn't a written assignment, but more of a thought-provoking one, but it would have been nice to see more ahead of time so I didn't feel pressured to complete it.
- I thoroughly enjoyed everything about this class, and I really think that all of it should be preserved.
- All of the assignments throughout the semester, along with all the examples within the lectures and discussions in-class were very facilitative to my learning. I especially liked the assignments that had associated podcasts and/or documentaries.
- I like the group discussion aspect of the course. It gets people out of their comfort zones a little bit and helps us get to know each other. I also really enjoyed learning about some techniques for more effective discussion and persuasion, as well as what motivates different people. This class reminded me of AP Psych, but the wildlife version. I was very invested in the material we covered, and this was my favorite class by far. Thank you!
- I really liked the class discussion days as well as having more podcasts/documentaries to listen to for the assignments because some of the articles are really complex. all around great mixture of reading and listening material to keep people interested.
- The group discussions were very helpful.
- I loved the different activities we did in class. I liked the pingpong ball participation strategy! It made me feel compelled to participate.
- Keep the ping pong balls and the subject review from the past section we learned before moving on to the new section.

Michigan Technological University

Spring 2022 Main Evaluation Group

Course: FW31120ASpring2022: FW3112 0A Spring 2022-Section 0A - Spring 2022 (14181)
Instructor: Jared Wolfe *
Response Rate: 14/26 (53.85%)

13 - What aspects of this course should I change to improve student learning? Specifically, what would you suggest?

Jared Wolfe

Response Rate 12/26 (46.15%)

- If you wanted to do a different type of final exam (non-traditional), one option might be to monitor a conversation between students in which we can apply some of the concepts of the class, such as pointing out logical fallacies, using mediation techniques, politely holding a conversation with opposition, etc. I think this would be an example of a life-skill that could be very useful. This could be done in small groups, where each person is given a set topic that they oppose or accept, and has a set amount of time they are permitted to speak before another person may respond. This would allow everyone to express an idea and still prevent a person taking over the conversation. It could be graded very simply, and based on how well you are able to present your opinion in a manner professionally appropriate (for example, is the tone light, can you redirect if the other person is getting too heated, do you choose to joke, etc.). This would be a fairly low stakes assignment, but would provide valuable practice for life skills.
- Everything was great in my opinion.
- I would suggest giving homework assignments a bit earlier in relation to the lab and due date. I felt rushed when assignments were due in 2 days when I had other coursework, so having a bit more notice would be great.
- Better communication about course work.
- Participation is difficult sometimes because you really do thoroughly teach the material well a lot of the time and I just don't have any questions about it, so encourage participation, but maybe don't make it so weighted with the grade. Also with the assignments, I feel like sometimes the questions were up to interpretation. Perhaps word them a little bit more directly?
- I do not think there is anything that needs to be dropped.
- I really enjoyed this course, I would not change anything about it. I feel that this course is very important and relevant for any CFRES student. I have learned many invaluable techniques for effectively working with people in the professional workplace.
- Why we covered what we covered made sense for almost everything by the end of the course, even if I was confused at first. However, I feel that it may not be necessary to spend as much time as we did on Marxism.
- Nothing, you clearly took our feedback to heart after each section/unit and adapted to what we all decided would be best.
- The required participation in the class wasn't my favorite, you could give more opportunities for it since not everyone is going to have a question on the material each week.
- I feel like everything worked well for me!
- Nothing, the class went smoothly.

14 - ----- Instructor Questions

These questions are intended to give your instructor additional feedback about this class.

I wanted to take this course.

Jared Wolfe

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.14
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%	█	
Neutral	(3)	3	21.43%	██	
Agree	(4)	3	21.43%	██	
Strongly Agree	(5)	7	50.00%	█████	
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
14/26 (53.85%)			4.14	1.03	4.50

15 - Class sessions were thought-provoking.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.71
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Neutral	(3)	1	7.14%	█	
Agree	(4)	2	14.29%	██	
Strongly Agree	(5)	11	78.57%	█████████	
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
14/26 (53.85%)			4.71	0.61	5.00

Michigan Technological University
Spring 2022 Main Evaluation Group

Course: FW31120ASpring2022: FW3112 0A Spring 2022-Section 0A - Spring 2022 (14181)
Instructor: Jared Wolfe *
Response Rate: 14/26 (53.85%)

16 - The instructor made me aware of his/her office hours.						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.29	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Neutral	(3)	2	14.29%			
Agree	(4)	6	42.86%			
Strongly Agree	(5)	6	42.86%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
14/26 (53.85%)				4.29	0.73	4.00

17 - The instructor encouraged students to seek additional help outside of class.						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.36	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Neutral	(3)	2	14.29%			
Agree	(4)	5	35.71%			
Strongly Agree	(5)	7	50.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
14/26 (53.85%)				4.36	0.74	4.50

18 - The instructor used class time effectively.						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.64	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Neutral	(3)	1	7.14%			
Agree	(4)	3	21.43%			
Strongly Agree	(5)	10	71.43%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
14/26 (53.85%)				4.64	0.63	5.00

19 - The instructor made connections between new material and material previously covered in class.						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.71	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%			
Agree	(4)	4	28.57%			
Strongly Agree	(5)	10	71.43%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
14/26 (53.85%)				4.71	0.47	5.00

Michigan Technological University
Spring 2022 Main Evaluation Group

Course: FW31120ASpring2022: FW3112 0A Spring 2022-Section 0A - Spring 2022 (14181)
Instructor: Jared Wolfe *
Response Rate: 14/26 (53.85%)

20 - I am more interested in the subject now than I was before I took this class.									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.50				
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%						
Neutral	(3)	1	7.14%						
Agree	(4)	2	14.29%						
Strongly Agree	(5)	10	71.43%						
				0 25 50 100	Question				
Response Rate			Mean		STD		Median		
14/26 (53.85%)			4.50		0.94		5.00		

21 - ----- Departmental Questions ----- Your thoughtful answers to the following questions will advise the department chair and others responsible for curricular design, instructional assignments, textbook selection, and classroom choice and design. ----- The instructor found ways to help students answer their own questions.									
Jared Wolfe									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.64				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%						
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%						
Agree	(4)	5	35.71%						
Strongly Agree	(5)	9	64.29%						
				0 25 50 100	Question				
Response Rate			Mean		STD		Median		
14/26 (53.85%)			4.64		0.50		5.00		

22 - The organization of the class helped me to learn.									
Jared Wolfe									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.57				
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%						
Neutral	(3)	1	7.14%						
Agree	(4)	1	7.14%						
Strongly Agree	(5)	11	78.57%						
				0 25 50 100	Question				
Response Rate			Mean		STD		Median		
14/26 (53.85%)			4.57		0.94		5.00		

23 - The pace of this course was consistent with my ability to learn the material.									
Jared Wolfe									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Much too slow	(1)	0	0.00%		2.93				
A little too slow	(2)	1	7.14%						
Just Right	(3)	13	92.86%						
A little too fast	(4)	0	0.00%						
Much too fast	(5)	0	0.00%						
				0 25 50 100	Question				
Response Rate			Mean		STD		Median		
14/26 (53.85%)			2.93		0.27		3.00		

Michigan Technological University
Spring 2022 Main Evaluation Group

Course: FW31120ASpring2022: FW3112 0A Spring 2022-Section 0A - Spring 2022 (14181)
Instructor: Jared Wolfe *
Response Rate: 14/26 (53.85 %)

24 - The course grading policies were fair.					
Jared Wolfe					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Neutral	(3)	1	7.14%	■	
Agree	(4)	4	28.57%	■	
Strongly Agree	(5)	9	64.29%	■	
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
14/26 (53.85%)			4.57	0.65	5.00

25 - Given the opportunity, I would take another course from this instructor.					
Jared Wolfe					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Neutral	(3)	1	7.14%	■	
Agree	(4)	1	7.14%	■	
Strongly Agree	(5)	12	85.71%	■	
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
14/26 (53.85%)			4.79	0.58	5.00

Mean of Means Calculations	Mean			
Mean of the 7 dimensions	4.50			